Effect of Phosphorus Rates on Sugarcane Yield in Muhoroni Sugar Zone in Kenya

<u>J. O. Omollo^{*}</u> and P. Ochola²

Jacob .O. Omollo * (Author responsible for correspondence)

Research Scientist

jacob.omollo@kesref.org

omken2000@yahoo.com

Peris Ochola²

Research Scientist

ocholaperis@yahoo.co.uk

*Kenya Sugar Research Foundation (KESREF)

Crop Development Department,

Agronomy Programme,

Kisumu Miwani Road,

P.O. Box 44 – 40100,

Kisumu, KENYA.

Tel: 0202047307

Fax: 0202047308

Cc: Email: <u>director@kesref.org</u>

Abstract

Low sugarcane yields in Muhoroni sugar zone are due to low soil phosphorus, aggravated by lack of P replenishment and continuous growing of single dominant variety. The on-farm study, was conducted at Fort-ternan and Kaitui on a Chronic Vertisol and Humic Cambisol respectively, evaluated the effect of P rates (0, 40, 80 and 120kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) and response of three sugarcane varieties in terms of growth, yield and quality attributes for plant cane crop. The sites are located in Kericho District and are under Muhoroni sugar zone. Variety CO617 and N14 were check crops in Fort-ternan and Kaitui respectively while KEN83-737 and KEN82-808 were test crops. The trial was laid out as a RCBD of two factor, variety and P rate involving 12 treatments. The varieties responded positively to application of P in of Kaitui, moderate in soil P and medium acidity unlike Fort-ternan where there was adequate soil P and near neutral soil pH. Sugarcane varieties performed differently in both sites with KEN83-737 was superior to CO617, N14 and KEN82-808. Application of P increased yield compared to the control ($0 \text{kg } P_2 O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$). The highest yield was recorded when P applied was 80kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, the trend being 80>40>120>0kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. It was concluded that P nutrition and suitable variety for a given area is essential for increased yield in plant cane harvest. KEN83-737 and 80kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ are recommended for increased yield of plant cane harvest in the study sites. The study shows that soil testing is critical in assessing soil fertility for judicious fertilizer use recommendation.

Key words: Phosphorus, Rates, Sugarcane, Yield, On-farm

Introduction

Sugarcane is a crop of great agro-economic importance in the Muhoroni sugar zone. Sugarcane cultivation is undertaken by Muhoroni Sugar Company in the nucleus estate and also by local farmers as outgrowers. Sugarcane contributed by the miller companies is about 10% while 90% is from the outgrower farmers (Wawire *et al.*, 2006a). The harvested cane is delivered to Muhoroni Sugar Company for processing into sugar and co-products (KSB, 2003; Wawire *et al.*, 2006a). The current sugar status in Kenya is deficit at about 200,000tons annually which has to be met through importation (KSB, 2006). There has also been increased interest in co-product utilization as value addition in sugarcane – sugar chain. This has fuelled demand for sugarcane by Muhoroni Sugar Company, leading to increased area under cane especially in out-growers land. The area under cane increased from 9,073ha in 1994 to 13,196ha in 2005 (KSB, 2006). However, this has not translated to increased cane but a declined yield has been recorded.

Some of the causal factors to declined yields are declined levels of essential plant nutrients such as phosphorus in the soil and also growing of single cane variety such as CO617, introduced in the 1970's (Wawire *et al.*, 2006b). The depleted or low plant nutrients in the soil are aggravated by lack of or inadequate fertilizer application. Phosphorus role in cane is to stimulate early root formation and development. Phosphorus deficiency leads to reduced metabolic rate and photosynthesis which then leads to reduced cane yield and quality (Blackburn, 1984; Anderson and Bowen, 1990). Therefore, to replenish plant nutrients especially, phosphorus in the soil, it is imperative to apply P fertilizers to supplement nutrients taken up by cane so as to increase and sustain yield (Anderson and Bowen, 1990; Malavolta, 1994). Since the fertilizers are costly, judicious application is paramount and therefore the rate of application needs to be determined which takes into

consideration the soil conditions of a given area and the suitable varieties recommended for the particular areas.

The current rate of P application has been largely a blanket recommendation where the humid conditions receive 80-90kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ while sub humid receive 40-60kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹. These rates were based on studies conducted in the 1970's where few introduced varieties were available. To date, more locally released varieties such as KEN82-808, KEN83-737 high in vigor, yields and quality have been developed and availed for commercial production in the industry (KESREF, 2002a).

Considering the importance of P nutrition in sugarcane performance and availability of high yielding locally released varieties, the present study was undertaken to determine the appropriate P rate and the response of some locally released sugarcane varieties.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was established on farm at Fort-ternan and Kaitui, Kericho district. Fort-ternan is located in agro-ecological zone, UM 2-3, marginal coffee zone. The soil at Fort-ternan is classified as Chromic Vertisol according to FAO soil classification system (FAO, 1988). The top soil is dark grey, sandy clay loam to cracking clay and perfectly drained (MoA-NARL, 1987). These soils are near neutral pH, adequate in P, Ca, Mg and low in Mn (Table 1).

Kaitui is located in agro-ecological zone, LM 2, marginal sugarcane zone. The soil at Kaitui onfarm site is classified as Humic Cambisol. The top soil is dark reddish brown, gravelly clay, moderately deep and well drained. These soils are medium acid, moderate in P and Mn and low in Ca/Mg (Table 1).

The experiment was established as a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with two factors involving 12 treatments and two replications. The 12 treatments were a factorial combination of three varieties and four rates of phosphorus as 0, 40, 80 and 120kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹.

| | On-farm site | Fort-ternan | | Kaitui | |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| | Soil depth | | | | |
| | (cms) | Levels | Description* | Levels | Description* |
| Soil pH | 0-15 | 6.4 | Slight acid | 5.9 | Medium acid |
| | 15 – 30 | 6.7 | Near neutral | 5.9 | Medium acid |
| Available P (mg/kg) | 0-15 | 563 | High | 16 | Moderate |
| | 15 - 30 | 566 | High | 15.5 | Moderate |
| Exchangeable | | | | | |
| cations | | | | | |
| (cmol(+)/kg) | | | | | |
| Mn | 0-15 | 0.15 | Low | 0.69 | Moderate |
| | 15 - 30 | 0.15 | Low | 0.68 | Moderate |
| Ca | 0-15 | 52.4 | Very high | 25 | Very high |
| | 15 - 30 | 51.4 | Very high | 23.5 | Very high |
| Mg | 0-15 | Trace | Low | 18.3 | Very high |
| | 15 - 30 | Trace | Low | 20 | Very high |
| Ca/Mg | 0-15 | 52.4 | High | 1.37 | Low |
| | 15 – 30 | 51.4 | High | 1.18 | Low |

 Table 1: Some soil chemical properties of the experimental site

* (Euroconsult, 1989; Landon, 1991; Okalebo, et al., 2002)

The three sugarcane varieties for each site were CO617, KEN83-737 and KEN82-808 used at Fortternan while N14, KEN83-737 and KEN82-808 at Kaitui site. The gross plots measured 10 m x 1.2 m x 6 rows or 72 m² while the net plots measured 10 m x 1.2 m x 4 rows or 48 m² excluding the two outer rows (guard rows). Certified seed cane was planted on 20th April 2005 at Fort-ternan site and 21st April 2005 at Kaitui site. Phosphorus treatments using single super phosphate (SSP) fertilizer was applied at the time of planting in the planting furrows. Nitrogen fertilizer (urea) was applied at a uniform rate of 100kg N ha⁻¹ in all experimental units. It was top dressed when the cane was 3 and

6months after Planting (MAP) in two equal splits. Weed control and other management practices were undertaken as recommended by Kenya Sugar Research Foundation(KESREF, 2002b). Growth attributes, germination and tiller count were recorded twice each in both sites. Germination count in Fort-ternan was on 25th May 2005 (34days after planting - DAP) and 16th June 2005 (56DAP) while in Kaitui this was done on 31st May 2005 (40DAP) and 22nd July 2005 (62DAP). Tiller count in Fort-ternan was on 20th December 2005 (8months after planting - MAP) and 19th January 2006 (9MAP) while in Kaitui site was on 21st December2005 (8MAP) and 20th January2006 (9MAP). Plant cane harvest was undertaken on October 2006 (19MAP). Data collected at harvest were yield attributes; number of stalks (population), stalk height (m), cane yield (TCH). Quality attributes were cane juice quality with respect to brix percent juice, pol percent juice and purity.

treatment means were compared using the least significance difference test at $P \le 0.05 P$ as described by (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results and Discussion

Effect of P rates on sugarcane growth attributes for plant crop cycle

The varieties differed significantly in terms of germination and tiller counts in Fort-ternan (Table 2) and Kaitui (Table 3) sites. Phosphorus treatments significantly influenced germination and tiller count in both sites however positive effect of P application was not observed in Fort-ternan as control (0kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) plots gave high readings compared to P applied plots (Table 2). Sugarcane variety CO617 showed high germination followed by KEN83-737 and KEN82-808.

However KEN83-737 developed more tillers followed by CO617 and KEN82-808 in Fort-ternan (Table 2). Results in Kaitui showed KEN83-737 was more superior to N14 and KEN82-808 both in

germination and tiller count (Table 3). These results support those reported by Sreewarome et al.,

(2005) who recorded significant response among varieties upon phosphorus application.

Table 2: Effect of phosphorus rates on sugarcane germination, tiller counts and yield attributes for

plant crop cycle at Fort-ternan

| | | ination unt | Tiller | count | No. of stalks per ha (Population) | Stalk height (m) | Cane yield (TCH) |
|--|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 34DAP | 56DAP | 8MAP | 9MAP | 19MAP | 19MAP | 19MAP |
| Variety | | | | | | | |
| CO617 | 125 ^a | 225 ^a | 861 ^a | 879 ^{ab} | 95 417 | 2.8 ^a | 106.8 |
| KEN83-737 | 71 ^b | 146 ^b | 803 ^{ab} | 885 ^a | 106 042 | 2.9 ^a | 105 |
| KEN82-808 | 91 ^b | 169 ^b | 766 ^b | 796 ^b | 96 042 | 2.4 ^b | 89.5 |
| LSD ($P \le 0.05$) | * | * | * | * | Ns | * | Ns |
| P Rates (kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻ | ¹) | _ | | | | | |
| 0 | 107 ^a | 192 ^a | 804 ^b | 849 | 95 417 | 2.68 | 95.7 |
| 40 | 68 ^b | 143 ^b | 748 ^b | 819 | 105 208 | 2.7 | 104.4 |
| 80 | 94 ^{ab} | 183 ^{ab} | 884 ^a | 903 | 103 125 | 2.82 | 101.8 |
| 120 | 113 ^a | 202 ^a | 804 ^b | 842 | 92 917 | 2.77 | 99.8 |
| LSD ($P \le 0.05$) | * | * | * | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns |
| CV (%) | 27.22 | 19 | 7.59 | 9.39 | 15.26 | 7.17 | 19.96 |
| R^2 | 0.62 | 0.66 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 0.25 |

Any two means not sharing a common letter differ significantly at $P \leq 0.05$

DAP: Days after planting; MAP: Months after planting; *: Significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ Ns: Not significantly different

Table 3: Effect of phosphorus rates on sugarcane germination, tiller counts and yield attributes for

| | Germination Count | | Tiller count | | No. of stalks per ha (Population) | Stalk height (m) | Cane yield (TCH) | |
|---|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | 40DAP | 62DAP | 8MAP | 9MAP | 19MAP | 19MAP | 19MAP | |
| Variety | _ | | | | | | | |
| N14 | 35 ^b | 59 [°] | 349 ^b | 414 ^c | 33 750 ^c | 2.6 ^b | 38.3 ^b | |
| KEN83-737 | 59 ^a | 159 ^a | 676 ^a | 699 ^a | 73 958 ^a | 3.2 ^a | 75.4 ^a | |
| KEN82-808 | 45^{ab} | 104 ^b | 593 ^a | 563 ^b | 51 250 ^b | 2.6 ^b | 58.3 ^{ab} | |
| LSD ($P \le 0.05$) | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| $P \text{ Rates } (\text{kg } P_2 O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1})$ | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 35 | 91 ^b | 507 | 523 | 41 667 ^b | 2.7 | 43.7 ^b | |
| 40 | 49 | 108 ^a | 536 | 557 | 51 042 ^{ab} | 2.8 | 57.5 ^{ab} | |
| 80 | 52 | 111 ^a | 538 | 555 | 65 000 ^a | 2.8 | 71.5 ^a | |
| 120 | 51 | 121 ^a | 575 | 599 | 54 167 ^{ab} | 2.8 | 56.6 ^{ab} | |
| LSD ($P \le 0.05$) | Ns | * | Ns | Ns | 80.42 | Ns | * | |
| CV (%) | 29.86 | 12.13 | 22.14 | 18.37 | 25.91 | 10.99 | 37.16 | |
| R^2 | 0.5 | 0.93 | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.76 | 0.57 | 0.63 | |

plant crop cycle at Kaitui

Any two means not sharing a common letter differ significantly at $P \le 0.05$

Ns: Not significantly different

Results in Kaitui site showed positive effect of P application as significant effect was recorded in germination count (62DAP). Although statistically similar, germination and tillering in P applied plots were high compared to control (0kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) (Table 3). The positive response of cane to P application in Kaitui site is attributed to the moderate levels of P and medium acid soil conditions (Table 1).

DAP: Days after planting; MAP: Months after planting; *: Significantly different at $P \le 0.05$

Effect of P rates on sugarcane yield attributes for plant crop harvest

The records on yield parameters varied between the sites with high values recorded in Fort-ternan site (Table 2) than in Kaitui site (Table 3). The varieties significantly differed among each other in terms of all the yield parameters at Kaitui site unlike Fort-ternan site where only stalk height varied significantly (Table 2).

Variety KEN83-737 was superior to CO617, N14 and KEN82-808 in all the yield parameters at Kaitui site and also in stalk height at Fort-ternan. Cane yield of 75.4TCH was the highest recorded for KEN83-737 while the lowest 38.3TCH recorded for N 14 at Kaitui site. Although statistically similar, stalk population for KEN83-737 in Fort-ternan was high at 106, 042 number of stalks per ha. The cane yield recorded of 105.0TCH was comparable to CO617 yield of 106.8TCH.

Sugarcane at Fort-ternan (Table 2) showed no significant difference in yield parameters among treatments upon P application unlike Kaitui site where significant difference was recorded. P application had a positive effect on population and yield (TCH) as P applied treatments recorded high values compared to the control (0 kg $P_2O_5ha^{-1}$) (Table 3). P application rate of 80kg $P_2O_5ha^{-1}$ recorded the highest population and yield at 65, 000stalks per ha and 71.5TCH respectively while the lowest was recorded in control (0kg $P_2O_5ha^{-1}$) the trend being 80>40>120>0kg $P_2O_5ha^{-1}$.

These results are similar to those reported by (Rahman *et al.*, 1992; Perez and Melgar, 1998; Sreewarome *et al.*, 2005; Omollo and Abayo, 2007). They observed enhanced stalk number upon phosphorus application.

The positive response to phosphorus application in Kaitui site is attributed to the moderate levels of P, medium acid soil conditions unlike Fort-ternan site where P was adequate and near neutral soil condition. The superiority of KEN83-737 to other varieties tested suggests suitability of the variety to the agro – ecological conditions of Fort-ternan and Kaitui sites.

Effect of P rates on sugarcane quality attributes for plant crop harvest

There was no significant difference in terms of the quality attributes among the cane varieties (Table

4). P application also had no influence on quality attributes.

| | Brix % juice | Pol % juice | Purity |
|---|--------------|-------------|--------|
| Variety | | | |
| CO617 | 17.48 | 13.44 | 77.04 |
| KEN83-737 | 18.3 | 14.67 | 80.21 |
| KEN82-808 | 17.26 | 13.33 | 77.18 |
| LSD ($P \le 0.05$) | Ns | Ns | Ns |
| P Rates (kg P_2O_5 ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| 0 | 17.88 | 14.39 | 80.47 |
| 40 | 17 | 12.87 | 75.68 |
| 80 | 17.8 | 13.87 | 77.97 |
| 120 | 18.05 | 14.09 | 78.62 |
| LSD ($P \le 0.05$) | Ns | Ns | Ns |
| CV (%) | 5.63 | 11.56 | 7.84 |
| R^2 | 0.52 | 0.28 | 0.25 |

Table 4: Effect of P rates on sugarcane quality attributes for plant cane harvest at Fort-ternan

Any two means not sharing a common letter differ significantly at $P \le 0.05$

Ns: Not significantly different

This suggests the quality attributes are inherent attributes of the varieties and can not be altered by application of nutrients such as P. These results are similar to those observed by (Omollo and Abayo, 2007).

Conclusions

Sugarcane varieties respond differently in terms of growth and yield attributes in a given agroecological environment and soil conditions. Variety KEN83-737 was superior in performance to KEN82-808 and N14 and compared well to CO617 at Fort-ternan and Kaitui site.

Soil conditions in terms of pH and available nutrients have an effect on the response of applied P for sugarcane performance. Cane grown in Kaitui site responded positively to P applied compared to

those grown in Fort-ternan. Soils of Kaitui are medium acidic, moderate P and low Ca/Mg while those in Fort-ternan are near alkaline and adequate in P.

Application of P enhances sugarcane nutrition which then leads to increased yields. Application rate of 80kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ recorded highest yield and population of millable stalks in both sites while lowest yield was recorded in no P (0 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹). Application of P did not influence the quality attributes in the Fort-ternan site.

Recommendations

In view of the results, it is recommended;

- Soil tests to determine the soil condition in terms of pH and available nutrients is paramount before planting. Therefore soil conditions adequate in available nutrients do not require P application.
- 2. Choice of variety suitable for a given area is essential so as to optimize the benefits of the variety suitability. KEN 83-837 is suitable for the conditions in Fort-ternan and Kaitui.
- Sugarcane nutrition plays a positive role in increased yield. It is therefore necessary to undertake studies of other essential nutrients N, K and Si and their interaction for increased sugarcane yield.
- 4. The results are based on plant cane harvest and therefore follow up of the ration performance is necessary.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Director KESREF, Dr. George Okwatch for authorizing and financing the study. Special mention of the CEO, Muhoroni Sugar Company (MUSCO) for authorizing collaboration via the agronomy department, MUSCO led by Agronomist James Wangendo and J. Onyango. Gratitude to the ADCD, Dr. John Rono, fellow scientists, Gordon Abayo and Risper Amolo for constructive criticism. Finally, appreciation to research technicians, Stanley Wesonga, Gideon Jira and Stephen Mutai, Laboratory technologists, Edwin Wanyonyi for their tireless support in success of the study.

References

Anderson, D.L and J.E. Bowen (1990). Sugarcane nutrition. Potash and Phosphate Institute of Canada and Foundation for Agronomic Research. Atlanta, Georgia.

Blackburn, F. (1984). Sugarcane. Longman, Harlow.

- EUROCONSULT. (1989). Agricultural compendium for rural development in the Tropics and Subtropics. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam.
- FAO UNESCO ISRC. (1988). FAO/UNESCO Soil MAP of the World Revised Legend. World Soil Resources Report 60, FAO, Rome.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York.
- KSB (Kenya Sugar Board). (2003). Year book of sugar statistics. Nairobi.
- KSB (Kenya Sugar Board). (2006). Year Book of Sugar Statistics. Nairobi.
- KESREF (Kenya Sugar Research Foundation). (2002a). KESREF Annual Report. Kisumu.

KESREF (Kenya Sugar Research Foundation). (2002b). Sugarcane grower's guide. Kisumu.

Landon, J.R. (1991). Booker Tropical Soil Manual. A Handbook for soil survey and agricultural land evaluation in the tropics and subtropics. Longman, New York.

Malavolta, E. (1994). Fertilizing for high yield sugarcane. International Potash Insitute. Basel.

Ministry of Agriculture – National Agricultural Laboratories (1987). Fertilizer use recommendation project (Phase 1), Final Report, Annex III, Description of the First Priority Sites in the Various Districts. Vol., 9: Kericho District, District No.: 9. Nairobi.

- Okalebo, J.R. Gathua, K.W and Woomer, P.L. (2002). Laboratory methods of soil and plant Analysis: A Working Manual. 2nd Ed. TSBF-CIAT and SACRED Africa, Nairobi.
- Omollo, J.O. and Abayo, G.O. (2007). Effect of phosphorus sources and rates on sugarcane yield and quality in Kibos, Nyando Sugar Zone. A. Batiano *et al.*, Eds. Symposium Abstracts in: Innovations as Key to the Green Revolution in Africa: Exploring the Scientific Facts. African Network for Soil Biology and Fertility (TSBF-AfNet). Nairobi
- Perez, O. and Melgar, M. (1998). Sugarcane response to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium application in Andisol soils. Better Crops International. Vol. 12, No. 2.
- Rahman, M.H., Pal, S.K. and Allan F. (1992). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, zinc, manganese nutrients on yield and sucrose content of sugarcane in flood-plain soil of Bangladesh. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science, 62 (7): 450-455.
- Sreewarome, A., Toomsan, B., Limpinuntana, V., Jaisil, P., Rao, S.M and Krishnamurthi, M. (2005). Effect of phosphorus on physiological and agronomic parameters of sugarcane cultivars in Thailand. Proc. Int. Soc. Sugarcane Technol., 25: 126-131.
- Wawire, N.W., Kahora, F.W., Wachira, P.M. and Kipruto, K.B. (2006a)). A report on technology adoption study in the Kenya Sugar Industry. Kenya Sugar Research Foundation (KESREF). Kisumu.
- Wawire, N.W., Jamoza, J.E., Shiundu, R., Kipruto, K.B and Chepkwony, P. (2006b). Identification and ranking of zonal sugarcane production constraints in the Kenya Sugar Industry. Kenya Sugar Research Foundation Technical Bulletin (No. 1): 78 – 102.