

DEAL #920

Location



Location #1

Spatial accuracy level

Administrative region

Location

San Martín, Peru



Target country

Peru

Comment on location

San Martín Coordinates: -7.199000723728755, -76.827392578125

General info



Land area

Intended size (in ha)

72654

Size under contract (leased or purchased area, in ha)

[2010] 72000

Comment on land area

The facts date back to 2006, when asked titling Ecoamérica 72.654 hectares (Intended) Current size under contract (2010): 72.000

Intention of investment

Intention of investment

Livestock, Agriculture unspecified, Forestry unspecified

Comment on intention of investment

producción de cultivos, extracción de madera de los bosques y ganadería

Nature of the deal

Comment on nature of the deal

la formalización de inscripción del derecho de posesión y por consiguiente el derecho de propiedad a favor de la empresa coreana EcoAmérica

Negotiation status

Negotiation status	[2006] Intended (Expression of interest) [2010] Concluded (Contract signed) [2011] Failed (Contract cancelled)
Comment on negotiation status	the judge has ordered the formal registration of the right of possession and thus property rights for Ecoamérica Korean company, for an area of over 72 thousand hectares (2010) This decision was appealed: The Tarapoto Mixed Chamber ruled against Ecoamérica. Meanwhile, Ecoamérica decided to appeal to the Constitutional Court, which found inadmissible and unfounded Ecoamérica demand, and demand to redo the corresponding procedure for titration. The decision of the Constitutional Court of Peru in 2012 declared inadmissible the request of company Ecoamerica.

Purchase price

Purchase price	0.7
Purchase price currency	Nuevo Sol (S/.)
Purchase price area type	per ha
Comment on purchase price	paying less than 0.70 cents per acre to the state for administrative costs

Investor info



Operating company

Operating company	Eco América SAC (#35514)
-------------------	--------------------------

Network of parent companies and tertiary investors/lenders. Please right-click the nodes to get more details.



Legend

- ← Is parent company of
- ← Is tertiary investor/lender of
- Left-click to reveal related parent companies and tertiary investors/lenders.
- Right-click on investors to get more information.
Left-click to hide related parent companies and tertiary investors/lenders.

Data sources



Data source #1

Data source type	Media report
URL	http://farmlandgrab.org/post/view/17156
File	
Date	2010-11-16

Data source #2

Data source type	Media report
URL	http://institutopaz.net/sistema/data/files/seguridad_territori:cartilla.pdf
File	

Data source #3

Data source type	Media report
URL	http://lamula.pe/2011/05/05/pueblo-shawiteme-perder-territorios-ancestrales-por-litigio-entre-terceros/Servindi/
File	
Date	2011-05-05

Data source #4

Data source type	Media report
URL	http://servindi.org/actualidad/77313
File	
Date	2012-11-22

Data source #5

Data source type

URL

File

Media report

<https://ejatlas.org/conflict/ecoamerica-sac-failed-agriculture-project-in-san-martin-peru>



Data source #6

Data source type

URL

File

Media report

<http://www.roriente.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Ecoamerica-SAC-Tribunal-00419-2011-AC-Resolucion.pdf>



Local communities / indigenous peoples



Consultation of local community

Community consultation

Not consulted

How did the community react?

Community reaction

Comment on community reaction

Rejection

Lancha y Wiler Saurín Tangoa, líderes de la Nación Shawi, ratificaron que junto a su pueblo defenderán hasta las últimas consecuencias las tierras que ancestralmente les pertenecen, en rechazo a una orden judicial que concede título de propiedad a la empresa coreana Ecoamérica SAC.

Former use



Former land owner (not by constitution)

Former land owner

Private (large-scale farm)

Comment on former land owner

Community

COFOPRI Loreto y grupos indígenas sin títulos registrados

Former land use

Former land use

Conservation

Former land cover

Former land cover

Forest land

Overall Comment



Overall comment

Overall comment

The concessions granted prior to the effective

date of the Act prior consultation (Convention 169 OIT), have generated multiple social conflicts around the regions they occupy, therefore, with the approval of the Law on Prior Consultation, communities have decided to enforce their property rights and go to courts to be heard, as verified in this case.

History (12 versions)

Feb. 7, 2018, 8:21 p.m.	●	overwritten	This version	↔ Compare with previous version
Feb. 10, 2016, 5:47 p.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
Feb. 8, 2016, 5:10 p.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
Feb. 8, 2016, 5:07 p.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
Feb. 6, 2016, 12:13 a.m.	✔	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
June 26, 2013, 7:15 p.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
June 26, 2013, 7:14 p.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
June 19, 2013, 5:09 p.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
May 27, 2013, 12:21 a.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
May 25, 2013, 10 p.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
May 24, 2013, 6:11 p.m.	●	overwritten	👁 Show this version	↔ Compare with previous version
Feb. 15, 2013, 5:01 p.m.	●	active	👁 Show this version	First version

Comments (0 comments)

There are no comments to this deal yet.
